

Autotransplanted Jejunum in Head and Neck Cancer

Johannes Kornfehl

INTRODUCTION

Patients with carcinomas of the upper aerodigestive tract usually present with late stage disease (T3, T4 tumours). They face a high likelihood of therapeutic failure and death within 5 years irrespective of treatment by surgery and/or radiotherapy. Although 5-year survival rate has remained low (range between 0 and 30%) [1, 2] despite technical advances in therapeutic regimens, surgery is still an attractive method of choice by increasing the likelihood of returning the patient to a functional state in a time period commensurate with the natural history of the disease. Therefore, such surgery should be considered "palliative" with optimal one stage reconstruction procedure, providing the lowest mortality and morbidity, the shortest hospitalisation time and the most rapid time interval with a minimal numbers of procedures necessary leading to successful oral alimentation.

Radical dissection of the primary tumour and uni- or bilateral neck dissection leads to huge defects. A variety of techniques have been developed for reconstructing these defects (Table 1). While a number of excellent articles [e.g. 3–7] deal with different reconstruction techniques, this review will focus mainly on a single method: the free transplantation of autologous jejunum.

HISTORY

The first, although experimental, free transfer of jejunum to the neck of a dog was performed in 1906 by Carrel [8]. In 1944 a pedicled loop of jejunum was transferred to the pharynx by Yudin [9]. Next (in 1950) Robertson and Sarjeant [10] mobilised jejunal loops for anastomoses in the neck. In 1959 Allison [11] described the transposition of a Roux loop of

Table 1. Methods of reconstruction

- 1. Free skin grafts
- 2. Cervical skin flaps
- 3. Regional chest flaps
- 4. Combination local and regional flaps
- Visceral interposition
 Gastric pull-up
 Pedicled colon, jejunum
 Free grafts with micovascular anastomosis
- 6. Others

Correspondence to J. Kornfehl, Institute of General and Experimental Pathology, Währinger Gürtel 18–20, A-1090 Vienna, Austria. Received 26 Jan. 1993; provisionally accepted 16 Feb. 1993; revised manuscript received 30 Mar. 1993.

jejunum to the pharynx following resection of a postcricoid carcinoma. As the long distance of pedicled loops through the mediastinum to the neck caused a high rate of complications, efforts were made to utilise jejunum as an autograft. Seidenberg et al. [12] described for the first time the use of revascularised jejunal autografts for reconstructing the cervical oesophagus in 1959. Stimulated by the experimental work of Green and Som [13] in 1966 the first clinical application of free jejunal autograft for reconstructing the oral cavity was published [14]. Only sporadic case reports using this method appeared during the next decade [15–19] because of problems of anastomosing small blood vessels. During the 1960s there was a rapid progress in the field of microsurgery [20–25] but it was not until the early 1970s that reliable instruments and suture material were available [26].

The development of microvascular surgical technique led to a decrease in complications and free jejunal autografts have been applied during the last 20 years in several centers [see e.g. 3, 27–29] and are now a reliable method for single stage reconstruction of huge defects in the upper aerodigestive tract.

TECHNIQUE

Today the procedure is performed with a team of two or three surgeons [e.g. 31–39]. Briefly, the head and neck team resect the primary tumour and perform an uni- or bilateral neck dissection (supraomohyoidal, functional, radical). During this procedure potential recipient vessels for microvascular anastomoses are identified and preserved. Subsequently a second team perform the laparotomy. A section of the jejunum with vascular anatomy suitable for preparation of a long mesenteric vascular pedicle is selected [40]. A segment approximately 20–25 cm in length is isolated and removed. In cases of larger defects a second segment of jejunum is needed [41, 42]. Some groups prefer a perfusion of the transplant with cooled salt solutions [39, 43, 44]. An end-to-end bowel anastomosis is performed and the abdomen is closed in the usual manner.

As Manktelow [45] pointed out, in oral reconstruction the vascular anastomoses should be performed before suturing the graft—opened along the antimesenteric border—into the defect, whereas in pharyngoesophageal reconstruction the mucosal anastomoses are sutured first with a isoperistaltically placement of the jejunal segment.

Piza et al. [46] reviewed the charts of 61 free jejunal autografts with special regard to microanastomoses. First the artery microanastomosis was performed with 93.4% as an end to end anastomosis and the superior thyroid artery as recipient vessel in 62.3%; 77% of venous anastomoses were end to side with the internal jugular vein as recipient vessel in 60.7%.

This procedure and these frequencies are in accordance with the literature [e.g. 28, 31, 47].

Piza et al. [43] performed the vascular anastomoses before suturing the graft into the defect, decreasing the ischaemic time of the graft to less than 1 h. As advantages the revascularised graft could be observed while suturing the mucosal anastomoses and postoperatively there was no increased mucus production.

A long ischaemic period (up to 10 h) may cause the increased mucus production observed by some authors [e.g. 28, 31, 33, 35, 37, 48]. The excessive mucus secretion by the graft persists up to 14 days and necessitates an intensified local and pulmonary postoperative care (i.e. periodical suction, tracheostomy and cuffed endotracheal tube [35]).

The postoperative management should include [see e.g. 31-33, 48, 49] monitoring of the graft viability (see below) and a barium-swallow test 7-14 days after surgery to ensure that there are no anastomosis leaks before beginning oral nutrition.

Contraindications include tumours infiltrating the trachea, fixed lymph nodes on both sides of the neck, previous abdominal surgery (except appendectomy), and acute gastric or duodenal ulcers [39].

INDICATIONS

General comments

When reviewing the literature, the one stage reconstruction procedures appear to be accepted today as methods of choice [e.g. 3, 5, 30, 50]. Among the single step techniques a trend towards free jejunal graft is obvious. Schultz-Coulon [27] noted in 1991 that free jejunal autografts are preferred because they (1) are more versatile, (2) are easy to handle, (3) provide better functional results, (4) avoid the functional and aesthetic morbidity of the donor site and (5) have a lower complication rate than the pectoralis major flap. Fisher *et al.* stated in 1985 [30] for pharyngoesophageal reconstructions three disadvantages: (1) microvascular surgical technique (2) abdominal procedures and (3) limited oesophageal speech. Among the seven cited advantages are (1) they are nearly the same diameter as the pharyngoesophagus and (2) they have the ability to tolerate radiotherapy.

Pre- or postoperative radiotherapy (up to 70 Gy) reduces the incidence of local and neck recurrences after resection of carcinomas of the upper aerodigestive tract [51, 52].

This irradiation is tolerated by free jejunal autografts [53, 54], but causes a deterioration of peristalsis [55]. The histological examination of the specimen demonstrated preservation of cytoarchitecture, oedema, atrophy and flattening of the villi, fibrosis of the mucosal lamina propria and round cell infiltration [31, 32, 56].

After preoperative radiotherapy, Coleman et al. [57], McCaffrey et al. [58] and Tabah et al. [59] noted a higher incidence of graft failures, pharyngocutaneous fistulas and strictures. Flynn et al. [60] reported in 1989 on 37 patients (24 treated with 65–70 Gy and 2 treated with 60 Gy preoperative radiotherapy) who had undergone free bowel autograft. A successful implantation was accomplished in 97% of cases. A similar success rate of 85% was published by McConnel et al. in 1981 [61] for free jejunal autograft in preoperative irradiated patients.

The complication rate seems to be related more to surgery than to radiotherapy, since a microvascular anastomosis carried out using irradiated vessels requires an extra measure of care [54, 60]. Pharyngoesophageal reconstruction

Coleman [5] in 116 patients (92 jejunal grafts and 24 pectoralis major flaps), respectively, and Schechter et al. [50] in 115 patients (43 deltopectoral flaps, 36 pectoralis myocutaneous flaps, 19 gastric pull-up, 17 jejunal grafts) found the transfer of jejunum superior, even when using the graft as salvage operation after failure of other techniques [5]. This statement is supported by the work of Hester et al. [49] and Robb et al. [62] using jejunum for one stage reconstruction of pharyngocutaneous fistulas and strictures.

Although other visceral grafts such as gastric pull-up or interposition of colon performed better than tubed skin flaps in functional as well as structural reconstruction [50], they are inferior to jejunal grafts because of a higher complication rate (as shown by Kato et al. [6], Pessey et al. [63] and de Vries et al. [64]) and because of the impossibility of reconstructing lesions in the naso/oropharynx and/or oral cavity [32, 65].

Oropharynx|oral cavity reconstruction

While being accepted for pharyngoesophageal reconstruction there is still controversy about the value of free jejunal autograft reconstruction in the oral cavity and naso/oropharynx.

Techniques using skin flaps have disadvantages because the transplanted skin shows minimal adaptation to the functional needs of oral mucosa [33]. Patients suffer from cicatricial indurations, impaired mucus secretion and continuing desquamation of the epidermal layer which causes impairment in chewing, swallowing, speaking and breathing. Jejunal grafts [e.g. 33–37, 66] offer several significant advantages such as [1] the mucus production which cleans the surface and avoids xerostomia after radiotherapy [2] the flexibility of the graft and the unlimited transplant supply enables reconstruction of difficult anatomical sites (i.e. tongue, soft palate etc.) and in addition the mesenteric fat serves as good transplant material after extended soft tissue loss [3], good wound healing, owing to the abundant blood supply [4] and good covering of implants for mandibular reconstruction [37, 66].

Sheen et al. [67] demonstrated, in an experimental canine model, the one stage reconstruction of mandibular defects with metal prostheses and microvascular jejunal autografts. They found adhesion of the serosal surface of the autograft around the metal surface, produced a satisfactory approximation of the mucosal surface to the prostheses. Therefore they postulated the suitability of jejunal autografts for covering primary or secondary bonegrafting. The clinical reliability has been demonstrated by several groups [37, 66, 68–70].

As a disadvantage the microsurgically revascularised grafts do not provide an adequate basis for a prosthetic rehabilitation because of the thin and vulnerable mucosa [70]. For a successful rehabilitation of the masticatory function the use of endosseous implants is necessary [71, 72]. New techniques of osteointegrated implants have been developed during the past 5–7 years [73–75]. Schmelzeisen et al. [74] described a multistep procedure. The free or microsurigically revascularised bone grafts are inserted 18–24 months after the first operation (i.e. primary mandibular reconstruction with metal prostheses and reconstruction of the mucosal defect with jejunal grafts) in order to allow early detection of local recurrences. The endosseous implants (Branemark) were inserted when the bone graft had sufficiently integrated.

Another versatile method of primary reconstruction of mucosal defects is the radial forearm flap [76] which is even for

18 J. Kornfehl

reconstructing small defects in the hypopharynx [e.g. 5, 6, 59, 77, 78] Bootz and Müller [79] favour this flap (if a mandibula resection is not necessary) because it is easy to dissect, the donor defect does not inconvenience the patient and it is more resistant to mechanical trauma than patches of jejunal graft. In addition laparatomy is avoided and both flaps have nearly the same structural and functional results.

FUNCTIONAL RESULTS

More than 1500 transplantations of free jejunal grafts are described in over 50 publications. The results will be subdivided into: parameters of success; complications.

Parameters of success

These parameters are: restoration of oral feeding, hospitalisation time and, to a lesser degree, voice rehabilitation (after laryngopharyng-/laryngectomy) and prolongation of survival. Only a few articles deal specifically with these parameters (Table 2).

Swallowing and hospitalisation time. In 1984 Surkin et al. [3], reviewing the first 82 autotransplanted jejuna, noted a swallowing time of 7–12 days and a hospitalisation time of 2–4 weeks (Table 2). Comparing these data to other reconstructive methods, jejunal grafts seemed to be superior though there is a higher percentage of mortality and of serious postoperative complications. Similarly the comparison of jejunal graft versus gastric pull-up by de Vries et al. [64] and Schustermann et al. [65] revealed an advantage of jejunal grafts (oral intake after 10.6 vs. after 16 days; hospital discharge after 22.2 vs. after 29 days; Table 2) with similar survival rates of the transplants (94% for jejunal grafts and 87% for gastric pull-up).

The transplanted jejunal graft acts as a passive conduit [83, 84]. No contractions are inducible by swallowing but the graft maintains its intrinsic motor repertoire, which is asynchronous with that of the donor site (phase III of the intestinal complex or "inter-digestive housekeeper").

In 1987 Schechter et al. [50] evaluated the functional value of different pharyngoesophageal reconstruction techniques. He found that jejunal graft and gastric pull-up were superior to pectoralis major myocutaneous flap and the deltopectoral flap with respect to oral alimentation and gaining weight. Concern-

Table 2. Functional results

| Reference | No. of patients | Oral alimentation | Hospital discharge 2-3 weeks | |
|-----------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| 36 | 20 | 12 days | | |
| 61 | 15 | 15 days | n.e. | |
| 80 | 6 | 14 (11-21) days | 2-10 weeks | |
| 4 | 82 | 7-12 days | 2-4 weeks | |
| 59 | 12 | n.e. | 26 ± 14 days | |
| 40 | 11 | 12 days | n.e. | |
| 31 | 72 | 13 days | 20 days | |
| 43 | 18 | 8-40/60 days | n.e. | |
| 50 | 17 | n.e. | n.e. | |
| 53 | 18 | 9-18 days | n.e. | |
| 64 | 17 | 9 (6-27) days | 23 (10-76) days | |
| 65 | 50 | 10.6 days | 22.3 days | |
| 81 | 11 | 19 (9-50) days | n.e. | |
| 82 | 44 | 21 days | n.e. | |
| | | | | |

n.e. = not evaluated.

ing speech, jejunal grafts were inferior to other techniques. In the latter paper and also that by Gluckmann et al. [28] it was stated that a definite functional evaluation based on maximum rehabilitation takes approximately 6 months. This period is necessary to reduce the obvious oedema [28, 30] observed postoperatively which is increased by postoperative radiotherapy [56]. Thus all studies dealing with functional parameters have to be considered in view of a delayed recovery of function.

Voice rehabilitation. After laryngopharyngectomy voice rehabilitation (i.e. oesophageal ruptus speech) is inferior with free jejunal autografts as shown by Schechter et al. [50] and de Vries et al. [64]. In 1985 Ehrenberger et al. [85] published a completely new one stage technique for immediate vocal rehabilitation. He created a tracheohypopharyngeal shunt, a phonatory neoglottis. This concept was further improved by Grasl [39] and also employed by Ziesman et al. [86]. Denk et al. [87] demonstrated the functional benefits of this technique compared with oesophageal ruptus speech. It is a reliable surgical method of voice rehabilitation after total laryngopharyngectomy. A further reduction of the overall complication rates—especially the graft failure rate of 21% [62]—would then justify the tracheohypopharyngeal shunt also in cases of simple laryngectomies.

A similar procedure—a fistula between trachea and jejunal graft—was described by Kinishi et al. [88] in 1991.

Oncological results. Meyer et al. [48] postulated a better prognosis when in cases of transplantation of jejunal grafts a more radical dissection of the primary tumour is possible, leading to a decreased number of local recurrences. Due to the scarcity of cases and the short period of follow up in this study, however, a definitive statement was not possible.

Coleman et al. [57] estimated the average interval until recurrence within 9.7 months and until death within 10.7 months after free jejunal autograft, which is similar to the findings of Ferguson et al. [40] and de Vries et al. [64]. Schultz-Coulon [27] when resecting T3/T4 oro-/hypopharynx-carcinoma, and primary reconstructing with jejunal autograft followed by postoperative radiotherapy found, in 24 patients, a 2-year survival rate of 39.3%. When these results are summarised it appears that prolongation of survival is not possible.

In 1992 a matched pair analysis of 22 patients (7 larynx-, 15 hypopharynxcarcinoma), published by Grasl et al. [89] showed a prolongated survival of 2 years after transplantation of a jejunal autograft compared with the control group. This observed prolongation of survival may be caused by the simultaneous transplantation of gut-associated lymphoid tissue which may be involved in defence function, especially local immune surveillance against neoplastic cells, in the new microenvironment (Kornfehl et al. [90]). In this immunohistochemical study the authors found a significant increase of B cells and immunoglobulin positive cells, an upregulation of activation associated antigens and an increase of monocyte/macrophage antigens in the graft. The authors concluded that the free jejunal autograft may facilitate not only mechanical but also immunological functions.

Complications

Due to the complexity of the one stage reconstruction with free jejunal autografts a wide variety of complications exist. The major ones are mortality, graft necrosis, stricture or dysphagia, fistulas and abdominal complications [e.g. 27, 28, 57, 70]. These complications are further divided into early (2-4 weeks postoperatively) and late (after 4 weeks postoperatively [31, 70] complications. Since most of the published articles discuss only a small sample of patients [53, 58], a definitive statement about the frequency of complications is not possible.

Schultz-Coulon [27] reviewed 14 articles with 493 free jejunal autografts (Table 3). He cited a mortality of 7 patients (1.48%), graft failure in 44 patients (8.9%), 26 fistulas (5.3%), 18 strictures (3.7%) and an overall complication rate of 111 (22.5%). He compared this with 324 myocutaneous pectoralis major flaps and found nearly the same mortality, however, with fewer graft losses (4.6%), but with an increasing percentage of fistulas (25.3%), strictures (19.4%) and an increased overall complication rate (52.5%).

In 1991 Meyer and Schmidt [70] evaluated 155 jejunal autografts and found a mortality rate of 2.6%, a graft loss of 11.4%, fistulas occurrence of 2.6%, abdominal complications in 9.5%, dysphagia in 6.5% and an overall complication rate of 39%. He listed $16 \ (10.3\%)$ late complications including $10 \ dysphagias \ (6.5\%)$.

Similar data were obtained by Theile *et al.* [31] and by Coleman *et al.* [57] reviewing the charts of 72, respectively, 101 patients (for details see Table 3).

In cases of a primary graft failure a salvage reconstruction is necessary, either with a second jejunum or with a myocutaneous pectoralis major flap. Coleman *et al.* [57] obtained a salvage rate of 70% with a second jejunum in 12 graft failures. The remaining patients were treated by pectoralis major flaps. Occasionally when the jejunum is used as a patch, a spontaneous healing of the defect is possible, when the necrotic graft is removed [37, 66].

Fistulas have a high tendency to spontaneously close after local wound care (15 out of 33 fistulas in the study by Coleman et al. [57]). Persistent fistulas may be closed by a variety of flap methods (pectoralis major-, sternomastoid-, skin flap and others). Strictures were treated successfully in most cases by dilatation, only few surgical revisions were needed [5, 31, 70].

Graft viability is directly dependent upon the integrity of the microvascular anastomoses. A graft necrosis, often occurring within the first 24 h postoperatively, leads to a failure of the one stage reconstruction with possible life-threatening complications like carotid artery blow-out and/or mediastinitis. Postoperative monitoring is therefore important because early detection of impaired blood flow may lead to successful salvage operations either as a revision of the microanastomoses

[47, 70, 91], or as a second jejunal autograft [5, 28]. Impaired blood flow is mainly caused by thrombosis: Coleman *et al.* [57] found nearly the same numbers of arterial [7] and venous [5] thromboses, contrary to Schmidt and Meyer [47] who detected the overwhelming majority of thromboses in the venous microanastomoses (15 out of 17).

As a routine monitoring, most groups use endoscopic control of the transplant beginning 6-8 h postoperatively [e.g. 32, 33, 39] for the first 2 or 3 days. However, the direct observation of the colour of the mucosal surface and the peristalsis may be hampered by the oedema of the transplant and the increased mucus secretion [33, 66]. For this reason several other methods of postoperative monitoring have been developed, but none have found general clinical application until today: Hester et al. [36] described a small silastic window in the cervical skin flap enabling the observation of the serosa. Implantable thermocouples [92], and external surface monitoring of the arterial and venous blood flow using an 8 MHz ultrasonic Doppler [93] have been propagated but proved unsatisfactory. Katsaros et al. [94] suggested direct observation by leaving a small minor segment of jejunum extruding through the neck incision. A modification was published by Hallock [95]. The exteriorised segment could be ligated after 5-7 days postoperatively. Bootz [96] used a small hole in the skin right above the graft in the neck. In 1988 Jones et al. [91] described an implantable 20 MHz ultrasonic Doppler probe for monitoring jejunal grafts. Potential disadvantages are the false positive signals caused by the dislodgement of the probe, furthermore risks are arterial thrombosis and rupture during probe removal. These authors and Petruzelli et al. [54] have used this probe without complications in 16, and 20 patients, respectively.

SUMMARY

Today, the free transplantation of jejunum is a reliable and attractive method for a single stage reconstruction of huge defects in the upper aerodigestive tract following the resection of advanced carcinomas, even in preoperatively irradiated patients. While being accepted for pharyngo-esophageal reconstruction, there is still controversy about its application in the reconstruction of the oral cavity and/or naso-/oropharynx, due to the possibility of using the other flaps, especially the radial forearm flap. As a consequence to the development of microvascular surgical technique and to the decrease of complications (mortality, graft necrosis, fistulas, strictures and abdominal complications) the free jejunal autograft provides now the shortest hospitalisation time and the most rapid

| Table 3. Complications | of, | free jejunal | autografts |
|------------------------|-----|--------------|------------|
|------------------------|-----|--------------|------------|

| Reference | No. of patients | Graft failure | Fistula | Strictures | Abdominal complications | Overall complications | Mortality |
|-----------|-----------------|------------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| 31 | 72 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 16 | 2 |
| | | 2.8% | 11.2% | 5.6° ₀ | 5.6% | 22.2% | 2.80 |
| 5 10 | 101 | 5 | 33 | 18 | 11 | n.e. | 5 |
| | | 5 % | 32.9% | 18°: | 11% | | 5% |
| 70 155 | 15 5 | 18 | 4 | 10 | 15 | 62 | 4 |
| | | 11.4% | 2.6% | 6.5% | 9.5% | 39 % | 2.6% |
| 27 | 493 | 44 | 26 | 18 | 20 | 111 | 7 |
| | | 8.9% | 5.3% | 3.7% | 4.0% | 22.5% | 1.5% |

20 J. Kornfehl

interval leading to successful oral alimentation, when compared with other techniques. In order to estimate the usefulness of jejunal grafts either for surgical voice rehabilitation or the possible influence of these transplants in prolongation of survival, further investigations are necessary. In addition, jejunal autografts tolerate postoperative radiotherapy, necessary to reduce the incidence of local and neck recurrences. Therefore jejunal autografts increase the likelihood of returning the patient to a functional state in a time period commensurate with the natural history of the disease.

- Traissac L, Petriat B, Petit J, Debars F, Baudet J, Pinsolle Martigne C. Notre experience dans le retablissement de la filiere digestive cervicale apres pharyngo-laryngectomie circulaire elargie. Ann Oto Laryngol Chir Cervicofac 1984, 101, 359-362.
- Mann W, Beck C, Wannenmacher M, Fuchs M. Überlebensrate und Funktion bei Kehlkopfhorizontal- und Kehlkopftotalresektion. Laryngorhinootologie 1986, 65, 282–286.
- Surkin MI, Lawson W, Biller HF. Analysis of the methods of pharyngoesophageal reconstruction. Head Neck Surg 1984, 6, 953-970.
- Ehrenberger K. Current concepts surgical rehabilitation of deglutition following pharyngolaryngectomy. Arch-Otorhinolaryngol 1990, Suppl. 1, 157–170.
- Coleman JJ III. Reconstruction of the pharynx after resection for cancer. A comparison of methods. Ann Surg 1989, 209, 554-560.
- Kato H, Watanabe H, Iizuka T, et al. Primary esophageal reconstruction after resection of the cancer in the hypopharynx or cervical esophagus: comparison of free forearm skin tube flap, free jejunal transplantation and pull-through esophagectomy. Jpn J Clin Oncol 1987, 17, 255-261.
- Missotten FE. Historical review of pharyngo-oesophageal reconstruction after resection for carcinoma of pharynx and cervical oesophagus. Clin Otolaryngol 1983, 8, 345–362.
- 8. Carrel A. The surgery of blood vessels. Bull Johns Hopkins Hosp 1907, 18, 25-31.
- Yudin SS. The surgical construction of 80 cases of artificial esophagus. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1944, 78, 561-583.
- Robertson R, Sarjeant TR. Reconstruction of esophagus. J Thorac Surg 1950, 20, 689-701.
- Allison PR. Postericoid carcinoma. Proc R Soc Med 1959, 52, 176-187.
- Seidenberg B, Rosenak S, Hurwitt ES, Som ML. Immediate reconstruction of the cervical esophagus by a revascularized isolated jejunal segment. Ann Surg 1959, 142, 162-171.
- Green GE, Som ML. Free grafting and revascularisation of intestine II. Partial replacement of the oropharynx. Surgery 1966, 60, 1017-1019.
- Black PW, Bevin GA, Arnold PhG. One-stage palate reconstruction with a free neovascularized jejunal graft. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1971, 47, 316-318.
- Jurkiewicz MJ. Vascularized intestinal graft for reconstruction of the cervical esophagus and pharynx. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1965, 361, 509-517.
- Harrison DF. The use of colonic transplants and revascularized jejunal autografts for primary repair after pharyngolaryngoesophagectomy. Proc R Soc Med 1964, 57, 30-34.
- Roberts RE, Douglas FM. Replacement of the cervical esophagus and hypopharynx by a revascularized free jejunal autograft. N Engl 7 Med 1961, 264, 342-343.
- Nakayama K, Yamainoto Y, Tamiya T, et al. Experience with free autografts of the bowel with a new venous anastomosis apparatus. Surgery 1964, 55, 796-802.
- Peters CR, McKee DM, Berry BE. Pharyngoesophageal reconstruction with revascularized jejunal transplants. Am J Surg 1971, 121, 675-678.
- 20. Jacobson JH II, Suarez EL. Microsurgery in anastomosis of small vessels. Surg Forum 1960, 11, 243-245.
- Buncke HJ Jr, Schulz WP. Experimental digital amputation and reimplantation. Plast Reconstr Surg 1965, 36, 62-70.
- 22. Buncke HJ Jr, Schulz WP. Total ear reimplantation in the rabbit

- utilising microminiature vascular anastomoses. Br J Plast Surg 1966, 19, 15-22.
- Komatsu S, Tamai S. Successful reimplantation of a completely cut-off thumb case report. Plast Reconstr Surg 1968, 42, 374

 377.
- McLean DH, Buncke HJ Jr. Autotransplant of omentum to a large scalp defect, with microsurgical revascularization. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1972, 49, 268-274.
- Daniel RK, Taylor GI. Distant transfer of an island flap by microvascular anastomoses: a clinical technique. *Plast Reconstr* Surg 1973, 52, 111-117.
- Acland RD. Instrumentation for microsurgery. Orthop Clin North Am 1977, 8, 281-294.
- Schultz-Coloun HJ. Jejunum transplantation. Therapeutic progress? HNO 1991, 39, 203–207.
- 28. Gluckman JL, McDonough JJ, McGafferty GJ, Black RJ, Coman WB, Cooney TC. Complications associated with free jejunal graft reconstruction of the pharyngoesophagus: a multiinstitutional experience with 52 cases. *Head Neck Surg* 1985, 7, 200–205.
- Coleman JJ III, Searles JM, Hester TR, et al. Ten years experience with free jejunal autograft. Am J Surg 1987, 154, 394-398.
- Fisher SR, Cole TB, Meyers WC, Seigler HF. Pharyngoesophageal reconstruction using free jejunal interposition grafts. Arch Otolaryngol 1985, 111, 747-752.
- Theile DE, Robinson DW, McCafferty GJ. Pharyngolaryngectomy reconstruction by revascularized free jujunal graft. Aust N Z J Surg 1986, 56, 849–852.
- Gluckman JL, McDonough J, Donegan JO. The role of the free jejunal graft in reconstruction of the pharynx and cervical esophagus. Head Neck Surg 1982, 4, 360-369.
- Schultz-Coulon HJ, Berger A, Tizian C, Löhlein D. Reconstruction of large defects of the oral mucosa with free, revascularized jejunum transplants. HNO 1985, 33, 349–354.
- Sasaki TM, Baker HW, McConnel DB, Vetto RM. Free jejunal graft reconstruction after extensive head and neck surgery. Am J Surg 1980, 139, 650-653.
- Sasaki TM, Baker HW, McConnel DB, Vetto RM. Free jejunal mucosal patch graft reconstruction of the oropharynx. Arch Surg 1982, 117, 459–462.
- Hester TR, McConnel FMS, Nahai F, Jurkiewicz MJ, Brown RG. Reconstruction of cervical esophagus, hypopharynx and oral cavity using free jejunal transfer. Am J Surg 1980, 140, 487-491.
- Berger A, Hausamen GE, Löhlein P. Schleimhautersatz in der Mundhöhle mit freiem Dünndarm-Transplantat nach Tumorresektion. Handchir Mikrochir Plast Chir 1983, 15, 164–167.
- Shumrick DA, Savoury LW. Recent advances in laryngo, pharyngo, esophageal reconstruction. Acta Otolaryngol 1988, Suppl 458, 190–193.
- Grasl MC. Free jejunal autografts for immediate voice restoration following laryngectomy. Laryngoscope 1993, 103, 96–100.
- Trotoux J, Germain MA, Geoffray B, et al. Choice of the 3d portion of the jejunum for reconstruction of the pharynx in circular pharyngolarnygectomies. Indications and advantages.
 Ann Otolaryngol Chir Cervicofac 1986, 103, 455-463.
- Hynes B, Boyd JB, Gullane PJ, Manktelow RT, Rotstein LE. Free jejunal grafts in pharyngo-esophageal reconstruction. Can J Surg 1987, 30, 436-439.
- Boyd JB, Hynes B, Manktelow RT, Cooper JD, Rotstein LE. Extensive pharyngo-oesophageal reconstruction using multiple jejunal loops. Br J Plast Surg 1987, 40, 467-471.
- Piza H, Ehrenberger K, Grasl MC, Wicke W. A new method of surgical voice rehabilitation: microsurgical jejunum transplantation. Handchir Mikrochir Plast Chir 1986, 18, 291-294.
- Buckspan GS, Newton ED, Franklin JD, Lynch JB. Split jejunal free-tissue transfer in oro-pharyngoesophageal reconstruction. Plast Reconstr Surg 1986, 77, 717-728.
- 45. Manktelow RT. Microvascular Reconstruction. Berlin Heidelberg New York, Springer 1988.
- Piza-Katzer H, Piza F. Vascular surgery problems in 65 free jejunal transplants in reconstruction of the pharyngoesophagolaryngeal area. Vasa 1988, 17, 21-25.
- Schmidt W, Meyer HJ. Technique and complications of microvascular reanastomosis. HNO 1991, 39, 208–211.
- 48. Meyer HJ, Terrahe K, Haug H, Schmidt W. Free intestinal grafts

- for plastic reconstruction of oral cavity, pharynx and cervical oesophagus. *Laryngorhinootologie* 1988, **67**, 1–6.
- Hester TR, McConnel F, Nahai F, Cunningham SJ, Jurkiewicz MJ. Pharyngoesophageal stricture and fistula. Treatment by free jejunal graft. Ann Surg 1984, 199, 762-769.
- Schechter GL, Baker JW, Gilbert DA. Functional evaluation of pharyngoesophageal reconstructive techniques. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1987, 113, 40–44.
- Vikram B, Strong EW, Shah JP, Spiro R. Failure at the primary site and in the neck following multimodality treatment in advanced head and neck cancer. *Head Neck Surg* 1984, 6, 720–729.
- 52. Kramer S, Gelber R, Snow JB. Combined radiation therapy and surgery in the management of advanced head and neck cancer: final report of study 73–03 of the radiation therapy oncology group. *Head Neck Surg* 1987, 10, 19–30.
- Ferguson JL, de Santo LW. Total pharyngolaryngectomy and cervical esophagectomy with jejunal autotransplant reconstruction: complications and results. *Laryngoscope* 1988, 98, 911–914.
- Petruzzelli GJ, Johnson JT, Myers EN, et al. The effect of postoperative radiation therapy on pharyngoesophageal reconstruction with free jejunal interposition. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1991, 117, 1265-1268.
- Biel MA, Maisel RH. Gross morphologic and functional effects of postoperative radiation on free jejunal autografts. *Laryngoscope* 1992, 102, 875–883.
- 56. Grasl MC, Kornfehl J, Neuchrist C, et al. Histologic study of the effect of postoperative irridation on free transplanted jejunal segments. Laryngorhinootologie 1991, 70, 375–379.
- Coleman JJ III, Tan KC, Searles JM, Hester TR, Nahai F. Jejunal free autograft: analysis of complications and their resolution. Plast Reconstr Surg 1989, 84, 589-595.
- McCaffrey TV, Fisher J. Effect of radiotherapy on the outcome of pharyngeal reconstruction using free jejunal transfer. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 1987, 96, 22-25.
- Tabah RJ, Flynn MB, Acland RD, Banis JC. Microvascular free tissue transfer in head and neck and esophageal surgery. Am J Surg 1984, 148, 498-504.
- Flynn MB, Banis J, Acland R. Reconstruction with free bowel autografts after pharyngoesophageal or laryngopharyngoesophageal resection. Am J Surg 1989, 158, 333-336.
- 61, McConnel FMS, Hester TR, Nahai F, Jurkiewicz MJ, Brown RG. Free jejunal grafts for reconstruction of pharynx and cervical esophagus. *Arch Otolaryngol* 1981, **107**, 476–481.
- Robb GL, Schwartz WM. Pharyngocutaneous fistulas: management with one stage flap reconstruction. *Ann Plast Surg* 1986, 16, 125–135.
- Pressey JJ, Fouttainer G, Chavoin JP, Moreau GA, Serrano E, Martinez LM. Coloplasty and free intestinal transplants in the treatment of extensive cancers of the pharyngo-oesophageal junction. Arch Otorhinolaryngol 1989, 246, 384-387.
- de Vries EJ, Stein DW, Johnson JT, et al. Hypopharyngeal reconstruction: a comparison of two alternatives. Laryngoscope 1989, 99, 614-617.
- Schusterman MA, Shestak K, de Vries EJ, Swartz W, Jones N, Johnson J. Reconstruction of the cervical esophagus: free jejunal transfer versus gastric pull-up. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1990, 85, 16-21.
- Reuther JF, Steinau HU, Wagner R. Wiederherstellung ausgedehnter Schleimhaut-Weichteil-Defekte der Mundhöhle mit mikrochirurgischem Dünndarmtransfer. Chirurg 1983, 54, 379–386.
- 67. Sheen R, MacLeod AM, Mitchell GM, O'Brien BM. Reconstruction of mandibular defects with metallic prostheses and microvascular jejunal autografts: an experimental study. Br J Plast Surg 1988, 41, 138-142.
- 68. Ewers R, Hoffmeister B, Primäre Zungen-, Mundboden- und Unterkieferrekonstruktion durch Kombination eines Pectoralis major-Rippen-Muskellappens und eines Dünndarmtransplantats. In Kastenbauer E, Wilmes E, Mees K, eds. Das Transplantat in der Plastischen Chirurgie. Berlin Heidelberg New York, Springer, 1987, 195–197.
- 69. Inoue T, Harashina T, Asanami S, Fujino T. Reconstruction of

- the hard palate using free iliac bone covered with a jejunal flap. Br J Plast Surg 1988, 41, 143-146.
- Meyer HJ, Schmidt W. Clinical use of microvascular reanastomosed transplants. HNO 1991, 39, 212-217.
- 71. Hurst PS. Dental considerations in management of head and neck cancer. Ontolaryngol Clin North Am 1985, 18, 573-603.
- O'Keefe GJ, Rieger WJ. Prosthodontic rehabilitation of the head and neck cancer patient. Semin Oncol 1988, 15, 86-99.
- Jacobson M, Tjellström A, Albrektsson T, Thomsen P, Turesson I. Integration of titanium implants in irradiated bone. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 1988, 97, 337-340.
- Schmelzeisen R, Hausamen JE, Neukam FW, Kärcher H, Scheller H. Combination of microsurgical tissue reconstruction with osteointegrated dental implants. Presentation of a technique. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1990, 19, 209-211.
- Wurster CF, Krepsi YP, Davis JW, Sison GA. Combined functional oral rehabilitation after radical cancer surgery. Arch Otolaryngol 1985, 111, 530-533.
- Soutar DS, Scheker LR, Tanner NSB, McGregor JA. The radial forearm flap: a versatile method for intraoral reconstruction. Br J Plast Surg 1983, 36, 1-7.
- Bootz F, Müller GH. Der radiale Unterarmlappen-Seine vielseitige Anwendbarkeit in der plastischen Rekonstruktion des Kopf-Hals-Bereiches. Arch Oto Rhino Laryngol 1989, Suppl. 2, 94.
- Carlson GW, Coleman JJ III. Microvascular free-tissue transfer. The Atlanta veterans administration medical center experience. Arch Surg 1989, 124, 438–440.
- Bootz F, Müller GH. Mikrovaskuläre Gewebetransplantation im HNO-Bereich. HNO 1988, 36, 456–461.
- Birchall JP, Hattab M, Pearman K, Mathias DB, Black MJ. Microvascular free jejunal transfer reconstruction following pharyngo-laryngectomy. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 1983, 65, 209-211.
- Tanaka S, Hirano M, Matsouka H, Inoue Y, Tai Y. Free jejunal autograft for pharyngoesophageal reconstruction. Nippon Jibiinkoka Gakkai Kaiho 1991, 94, 192–197.
- Takooda S, Nishijima W, Usui H, et al. Reconstruction of hypopharynx and cervical esophagus using a free jejunal graft. Nippon Jibiinkoka Gakkai Kaiho 1991, 94, 41–45.
- 83. Kerlin P, McGafferty GJ, Robinson DW, Theile D. Function of a free jejunal "conduit" graft in the cervical esophagus. *Gastroenterology* 1986, **90**, 1956–1963.
- 84. Moreno Osset E, Tomas Ridocci M, Paris F, et al. Motor activity of esophageal substitute (stomach, jejunal, and colon segments). Ann Thorac Surg 1986, 41, 515-519.
- Ehrenberger K, Wicke W, Piza H, Roka R, Grasl MC, Swoboda H. Jejunal grafts for reconstructing a phonatory neoglottis in laryngectomized patients. Arch Otorhinolaryngol 1985, 242, 217-223.
- Ziesmann M, Boyd B, Manktelow RT, Rosen IB. Speaking jejunum after laryngopharyngectomy with neoglottic and neopharyngeal reconstruction. Am J Surg 1989, 158, 321-323.
- Denk DM, Grasl MC, Frank F, Deutsch W, Ehrenberger K. Surgical voice rehabilitation after laryngopharyngectomy: functional results of tracheo hypopharyngeal shunts by jejunal transplantation. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 1992, 249, 248–252.
- Kinishi M, Amatsu M, Tahara S, Makino K. Primary tracheojejunal shunt operation for voice restoration following pharyngolaryngoesophagoectomy. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 1991, 100, 435-438.
- 89. Grasl MC, Kornfehl J, Neuwirth-Riedl K, Neumann H. Does free transplanted jejunum autograft prolong survival rate in patients with advanced carcinoma of the larynx and hypopharynx? A matched-pair analysis. *Laryngorhinootologie* 1992, 71, 258-260.
- Kornfehl J, Neuchrist C, Grasl MC, et al. Autotransplanted jejunum in patients with carcinomas of the head and neck: transport of immunosurveillance against tumor cells? *Immunobiology* 1992, 184, 321-335.
- 91. Jones NF, Rocke AM, Swartz WM, Klein A. Experimental and clinical monitoring of free jejunal transfers using an implantable ultrasonic Doppler probe. *Br J Plast Surg* 1989, 42, 274–280.
- 92. May JW, Lukash FN, Gallico GG, Stirrat CR. Removable

J. Kornfehl

- thermocouple probe microvascular patency monitor: an experimental and clinical study. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1983, 72, 366-371.
- 93. Solomon GA, Yaremchuck MJ, Manson PN. Doppler ultrasound surface monitoring of both arterial and venous flow in clinical free tissue transfers. *J Reconstr Microsurg* 1986, 3, 39-42.
- 94. Katsaros J, Banis JC, Acland RD, Tan E. Monitoring free vascularised jejunum grafts. Br J Plast Surg 1985, 38, 220-222.
- 95. Hallock GG, Koch TJ. External monitoring of vascularized
- jejunum transfers using laser Doppler flowmetry. Ann Plast Surg 1990, 24, 213-215.
- 96. Bootz F, Müller GH. Postoperative monitoring of a free jejunum transplant. *Laryngorhinootologie* 1988, **67**, 606.

Acknowledgement—The author wants to thank Petra Metzker for the skilful typing of the manuscript.